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ABSTRACT
One of the ways assisting translators in producing a good target text (TT) is a translation model based on which they do their task comfortably. Designing or developing a model serves as an effort to find the best way to provide full equivalent of the ST in the TT (Vinay and Darbelnet 1995, p. 255) even though there will be no exact equivalent in translation (Nida 1964, p.159). This is a descriptive qualitative study using the book entitled ‘Hikayat Deli’ written in Malay language and its translation in bahasa Indonesia as the data. In addition, several translation models were revisited as the references as well as the comparative model in order to show how the previous models were different from the present model. The data were analysed using a content analysis and the findings became the basis of developing a translation model. The study has resulted in the formation of “Meaning-Based Translation Model”. This model is extension of Larson’s (1984) model emphasizing meaning as the main concern of translation. The source text (ST) meaning is discovered and re-expressed in the TT based on the SFL language metafunction point of view. In addition to meaning, this model also highlights translation technique as one of the important factors in producing a TT. The study also exemplifies how this model can be applied in the translation process.
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I. Introduction
Translation is a process of transferring the meaning expressed in a certain language, called source language (SL), into another language, called target language (TL). This definition suggests that meaning is central in a translation process as it is the property of a language (Catford 1965), and every translation-related activity is mainly about recreating meaning in a different language. Therefore, the most important concern in translation is meaning equivalence (Baker 1992; Catford 1965; Koller 1979; Newmark 1981; Nida 1964; Nida and Taber 1969; Pym 2010; Vinay and Darbelnet 1995).

As an attempt to find as close equivalent as possible, a number of researches on translation studies produce various translation models on different basis. Koehn and Hoang (2007) develop a factored translation model (see Figure 1) using a morphology as the basis of translation process.

Figure 1: Factored Translation model (Koehn and Hoang 2007, p. 869)

The factored translation builds on the phrase-based approach (Koehn, Och and Marcu 2003) that breaks up the translation of a sentence into the translation of smaller sentence elements (phrases). The addition attached in this model is the decomposition of phrase translation into a sequence of mapping steps: (i) translating input lemmas into output lemmas; (ii) translating morphological and part-of-speech factors;
and (iii) generating surface forms given the lemma and linguistic factors (Koehn and Hoang 2007, p. 870). Thus, this model confirms that all translation steps operate on the phrase level, while all generation steps operate on the word level. However, this model focuses only on the syntactic level of the ST but pays less attention to the semantic representation of the ST in the TT. Although this model also provides several possible equivalents of the ST phrases in the TT, it fails to generate the proposition of the ST that should have been given more attention rather than breaking up ST sentences into phrases.

The importance of generating the ST propositions in a translation process is once mentioned by Bell (1991, p. 106) who considers proposition as the universal element of the ST which is then specified into sentences of the TT allowing translators to use their own style corresponding to the target language (TL) structure. For Bell, a process of translating involves ‘analysis’ and ‘synthesis’, each containing such three major stages as syntactic, semantic and pragmatic stages as shown in Figure 2. Therefore, in addition to syntactic stage elaborated in the factored translation model (Koehn and Hoang 2007), Bell’s model also includes semantic and pragmatic aspects to generate the language-free semantic representation of the ST to be converted into the TT.

Figure 2: Bell’s Translation process (Bell 1991, p. 21)

According to Bell’s model, the language-free semantic representation serves as the proposition which will be used as the basis for its translation into another language. In more detailed explanation, the translation process begins with the analysis through the functional and pragmatic categories of clause structure, propositional content, thematic structure, register features, illocutionary force and speech acts. Then the process continues to the synthesis phase in which the purpose, thematic structure, style and illocutionary force of the ST are synthesized before the TT is encoded (Bell 1991, p. 58-60). The emphasis on discovering the ST meaning is also the focus of Larson’s (1984) translation model as shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Translation model developed by Larson (1984, p. 4)

According to this model, meaning is the central in translation process because a translation process is a process of discovering the meaning of the text in the source language (SL) and re-expressing such meaning in the receptor language or the TL. The process of discovering the meaning is not such simple because it involves studying the lexicon, grammatical structure, communication situation, and cultural context of the ST (Larson 1984, p. 3). The same treatment applies in the process of re-expressing the meaning in the TT.

Larson’s model provides a space to be further developed, particularly in terms of its meaning concept which has not yet been specified. This paper attempts to present a meaning-based translation model based on the research on the translation of the book entitled “Hikayat Deli” from Malay language into bahasa Indonesia. This model tries to develop Larson’s meaning-based model by specifying it based on SFL (Systemic Functional Linguistics), in particular from its metafunction meaning point of view (Halliday and Matthiessen 2004). In addition, in terms of how the meaning is discovered based in its language metafunction, this model also adapts the model proposed by Bell (1991) and Manfredi (2014).

2. Methodology

This is a descriptive qualitative study using a content analysis as the research design. The data were the book entitled ‘Hikayat Deli’ written in Malay language and its translation in bahasa Indonesia. In addition, three previous translation models were used as the reference of developing the present translation model. The analysis included how the ST meaning was discovered based on SFL language metafunction point of view and how it was
re-expressed using certain translation techniques to produce the TT.

3. Findings and Discussion

Based on the data analysis, it was found that the phrase-based translation models (e.g. factored translation model) in which the sentences had been segmented into phrases before they were translated to the target language (TL) did not work when applied to translating literary works, such as in the process of translating the book entitled “Hikayat Deli” from Malay (SL) to bahasa Indonesia (TL). Besides, the dependence on translating phrases by phrases was unable to accommodate the needs of stylistic features used in the source text (ST). By segmenting the sentences into phrases before they are translated might lead to incompatibility of meaning of the translated text because phrases might have different meaning when used in different contexts. This finding is in line with Darwish (2003) who says that a translation model should pay attention to the whole textual components of the text in terms of how sentences are interlinked and how they depend on one another in a stretch of text to convey the intended meaning. He further says that the meaning of a sentence is determined by the different ways the sentence is semantically related to other sentences in the text.

Darwish’s ideas emphasizes that translation should result in meaning cohesiveness as translation is not merely a group of translated phrases. This is related to the importance of ideas organization in the translation product (Sofyan and Tarigan 2017a, p. 46). Producing a well organized TT is also the intention of discovering and re-expressing the meaning in translation process as proposed by Larson (1984). The translation model displayed in Figure 4 emphasizes on discovering the meaning of the ST based on its language metafunctions. The term decoding is used to replace Larson’s term “discover the meaning” referring to the process of understanding and discovering the meaning after reading the ST repeatedly.

Table 1: The role of SFL language metafunction in translation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language Metafunction</th>
<th>Lexicogrammar</th>
<th>Contextual Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ideational</td>
<td>Transitivity Structure: Yield (What is going on?)</td>
<td>Subject (matter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Processes/Participants/ Circumstances</td>
<td>Domain(s) of Experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal</td>
<td>Mood Structure:</td>
<td>Tense (Who is taking part?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mood and Residue</td>
<td>Social distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mood Systems</td>
<td>(formal vs informal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Modality Systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textual</td>
<td>Thematic structure:</td>
<td>Mode (How are the meanings being exchanged?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Theme and Rheme</td>
<td>Participation:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Information structure</td>
<td>Monologue = dialogue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ideational meaning says “What is going on or What happened in the text?”. This meaning is realized in its transitivity structure that includes the processes, participants and circumstances. Then, the process goes to discovering the ST interpersonal meaning which says “Who is taking a part in the text?”. This kind of meaning is realized in its mood structure (mood and residue), mood systems (declarative, interrogative and imperative) and modality systems. Meanwhile, the textual meaning which says “How are the meanings being exchanged?” is realized in its thematic structure (theme and rhyme) and how the information in the theme and rhyme is organized. The process of discovering the metafunction meaning of the ST’s quotes is illustrated in the following figure.
Figure 5: The process of discovering language metafunction meaning

The results of the process of discovering the metafunction meaning show that the ST has three processes ‘datang (come)’, ‘bernama (name)’ and ‘mengantar (submit)’. The process ‘come’ binds the participant ‘bangsa Kerajaan (the king)’ and is accompanied by the circumstances ‘dahulu kalanya (long time ago)’ and ‘dari Deli Akbar dan Hindustan (from Deli Akbar and Hindustan)’; the process ‘name’ binds the participants ‘Bahasyid Sjech Matiyoeddin (very famous)’, ‘amat masyur (very famous)’, ‘terlalu besar kerajaan Baginda itu (very big kingdom); and the process ‘submit’ binds the participants ‘beberapa lagi amat negeri yang takluk (many subjugated countries)’ and ‘upeti (tribute)’ and is accompanied by the circumstance ‘kepadanya (to him)’.

From the interpersonal meaning point of view, the ST is composed of two declarative clauses meaning that both of the clauses function to give information. From the textual meaning point of view, the ST has two clauses, one of which is composed of a multiple marked theme ‘maka’ (conjunctive) and ‘dahulu kalanya’ (circumstance), and another is a simple unmarked theme ‘beberapa lagi amat negeri yang takluk (participant).

After the metafunction meaning of the ST is discovered, it is the time to re-express it in the target language (TL), which is, according to this model, is called encoding. The model emphasizes the selection of the right translation technique to re-express the meaning in the TL. Translation techniques (e.g. adaptation, amplification, deletion, substitution, addition, transposition, reduction) are applied by fully keeping the metafunction meaning that has been discovered. In addition, the technique mainly aims at re-expressing the meaning in the style and structure naturally acceptable in the TL.

The use of translation technique in the encoding phase possibly leads to metafunctional shifts, the shifts involving the elements and the systems of transitivity, mood and theme. A translation model involving language metafunction shifts has once been developed by Rosa (2017). In his model (see Figure 6), he stresses out the very important role of revision in translation process. In the revision phase, language metafunction shifts are used as the strategy to solve the problems encountered during the translation process. His model also requires the translator’s good knowledge of language metafunctions assisting them to the right decision making; therefore, any metafunctional shift occurring in the translation process (cf. Rosa et al. 2017) is considered as a cognitive process in which a translator recalls his previous knowledge of language metafunction to be applied in re-expressing the ST meaning in the TT. In his model, the term ‘encoding’ used in the model developed in this paper refers to both drafting phase (in which the translator writes the translation draft) and revision phase (in which the translator revises or edits the translation draft).

Figure 6: A translation model developed by Rosa (2017, p. 193)

In the encoding process, the ST metafunction meaning that has been discovered is then rewritten in the TL by considering the right translation technique. The results of the encoding process is shown in Table 2.

Table 2: The result of the translation using meaning-based translation model
Based on the ideational metafunction meaning, the ST processes ‘datang (come)’, ‘bernama (name)’ and ‘mengantar (submit)’ are kept, but another process, a relational process, ‘memiliki (have)’ is added. Nevertheless, the addition does not change the metafunction meaning discovered in the ST because it is used to complete an additional clause in the TT. As displayed in Table 2, the TT has more clauses which, therefore, requires more processes. The different number between the ST clauses and the TT clauses indicates the translator’s reluctance to imitate the ST style which, in turn, shows the effort of producing as natural TT as possible. This can only be achieved if translation process focuses on discovering and re-expressing the metafunction meaning, which is the main concern of the translation model developed in this paper. In addition, the meaning contained in the other transitivity and circumstance elements of the ST is also kept in the ST. Therefore, the complete ideational meaning of the ST is re-expressed in the TT with the style and structure acceptable in the TL.

Furthermore, despite several shifts involving the mood structure, the TT successfully re-expresses the interpersonal meaning of the ST. Based on their mood system, all of the ST and TT clauses are declarative giving the information about the time, the place and the people involved in the text.

Moreover, the shifts involving theme structure also keep the textual meaning of the ST. The additional clause in the TT leads to the larger number of TT themes, but the additional number of themes does not change the textual meaning. It even makes the TT more cohesive because the meanings exchanged are better organized. The shifts include the shift (i) from multiple theme to simple theme, e.g. the deletion of conjunctive ‘maka’ (textual theme) in the TT results in a simple theme; (ii) from simple theme to multiple theme e.g. the addition of conjunctive ‘dan’ (textual theme) in the final clause of the TT results in a multiple theme, and (iii) from unmarked theme to marked theme, e.g. the transposition of the circumstance of comment ‘kabarnya (reportedly)’ as the theme of the clause ‘Kabarnya, kerajaannya pun sangat besar’ (Reportedly, his kingdom is very big) results in a marked theme.

The very important of discovering and re-expressing the textual meaning in translation is also the focus of a translation model developed by Sofyan and Tariqan (2017b). Their model, called a textual meaning-based translation model, says that translation involves discovering textual meaning by dividing the ST clauses into their theme and rheme. Furthermore, in the process of re-expressing the textual meaning, a translator should pay attention to the theme markedness, thematic progression and nominalization of the clauses (Sofyan and Tariqan 2017b, p. 47).

Based on the explanation of how this translation model works in the translation, this model provides as wide opportunity as possible for translators to use their own style in translation by paying attention to the applicable TL structure. This is due to the fact that this model’s main concern is discovering the metafunction meaning of the ST; meanwhile, how the meaning is re-expressed in the TL depends completely on the translators’ writing style. Although this model is generated from the process of translation from Malay language into bahasa Indonesia, it is also possibly used to be applied in the translation involving other different languages.

4. Conclusions and Suggestions

As the model developed in this paper emphasizes on discovering the metafunction-ideational, interpersonal and textual-meanings, it can be the best choice for every translator who wants to produce a natural TT equivalent in meaning with the ST. This model allows metafunctional shifts at any level-both intra-rank and inter-rank shifts—because the TT is constructed based on the metafunction meaning of the ST, not based on the form of the ST.

This model has been applied only in the translation of the book entitled “Hikayat Deli” from Malay language into bahasa Indonesia, so it is suggested to use this
model in the translation involving other languages. Besides, it is also suggested for those who have tried out this model to provide criticisms or suggestions for its improvement because this model is open to be further developed.
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