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ABSTRACT

Coronavirus (COVID-19) was declared a world pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on January 30, 2020. As a safety measure to protect people, most governments in the world, including Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, decided to close universities and workplaces. Prompted by this shut down, the researchers aimed to review the students’ perceptions of e-learning for ESL/EFL in Saudi universities during Coronavirus time. The study aimed to report: 1) students’ perceptions of e-learning for ESL/EFL, 2) benefits of e-learning for ESL/EFL, and 3) drawbacks of e-learning for ESL/EFL in Saudi universities. To this end, eight studies were found on Google Scholar and ERIC. These studies had used questionnaires and interviews to explore students' views of e-learning tools such as Google Docs, Telegram, Nearpod and Mobile Technologies. It was found that students had positive views of Google Docs as it improved their writing quality (Ahmad, 2020); Telegram which was used for vocabulary learning (Abu-Ayfah, 2020); Nearpod which led to their collaboration (Hakami, 2020) and Mobile Technologies which improved student-teacher communication (Alshehri & Cumming, 2020). Slow Internet was reported as a drawback (Hakami, 2020).
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1. Introduction

On December 31, 2019, Chinese health authorities informed the office of the World Health Organization (WHO) in China of cases of pneumonia of unknown cause. These cases were first detected in Wuhan city, Hubei province. By January 3, 2020, 44 patients of pneumonia were reported. These cases were associated with exposure to one seafood market in Wuhan city according to the first Coronavirus situation report (WHO, 2020). The Chinese health authorities identified a new virus that attacked humans by January 7, 2020. It was called new Coronavirus or novel Covid-19 since the first cases were discovered in December 2019. On January 13, the Ministry of Public Health in Thailand reported the first case of Coronavirus. On January 15 Japan reported its first case of the new virus. On January 20, the Republic of Korea declared its first case of the virus based on the first Coronavirus situation report (WHO, 2020). On January 30, a World Health Organization (WHO) Emergency Committee on COVID-19 was convened and under the International Health Regulations (IHR 2005) declared the outbreak of Coronavirus to be a public health emergency of international concern, in other words, a world pandemic. Although there is still nor vaccine neither a specific anti-viral treatment for this virus, the WHO believed it was possible to stop or decrease the spread of Coronavirus if countries took stringent measures to detect cases early, isolate these and consolidate social distancing measures, as shown in the eleventh Coronavirus situation report (WHO, 2020).

2. Coronavirus in Saudi Arabia

On March 2, 2020, the Ministry of Health in Saudi Arabia confirmed the first case of COVID-19. On March 8, authorities announced that all confirmed cases in the country came from Qatif. Therefore, the Saudi government imposed a curfew on the Qatif Governorate in the Eastern Region of the country (Arab News, 2020).
On March 8, the Ministry of Education announced the suspension of all educational institutions, including universities, schools, technical and vocational training institutions to combat the spread of the virus (Arab News, 2020). On March 20, the Saudi government suspended entry to and praying in the two Holy Mosques in Mecca and Medina to limit the spread of the Coronavirus. Daily and Friday congregational prayers were also suspended in all mosques across the country (Saudi Gazette, 2020). On March 24, a curfew across the country was imposed which restricted movement to between 6 a.m. and 7 p.m.

3. Focus of the Review

Prompted by the suspension of universities and schools in Saudi Arabia, the researchers sought to review students’ perceptions of e-learning for ESL/EFL in Saudi universities at Coronavirus time. E-learning can be defined as learning or education delivered electronically (Li, Lau & Dharmendran, 2009). Moreover, it is defined as enhancing students’ learning via any suitable information and communication technologies (Ellis, Ginnis & Piggott, 2009).

The researchers adopted the latter definition in their review and looked for primary studies that utilized any information and communication technologies suitable for students’ learning of English. Thus, e-learning could comprise online Learning Management Systems (LMSs) such as WebCT, Blackboard and Moodle; video-conferencing tools such as Skype and Zoom; Mobile applications such as Telegram and WhatsApp; and Social Media sites such as Facebook, Blogs, Wikis and Google Docs. These technologies include both means of communication: synchronous (i.e., chatrooms, Listservs) and asynchronous (i.e., e-mails, discussion boards) for educational purposes.

The researchers focused on students’ perceptions rather than the teachers’ or administrators’ and policy makers’ perceptions. Also, they focused on Saudi high educational institutions rather than public schools. The researchers used both the terms English as a Second Language (ESL) and English as a Foreign Language (EFL) for learning English in Saudi Arabia. Some researchers used the term ESL broadly to mean learning English by non-natives whether in an English-speaking country or not (Nepomuceno, 2011). Others insisted on using the term EFL for learning English by non-natives outside English speaking countries (Kitchakarn, 2012).

3.1 Importance of the Focus

Students’ perceptions are important as the researchers subscribe to the learner-centered education with its many benefits. In student-centered learning, students share responsibility of their learning with their teachers, interact with the teachers, and collaborate as well as communicate with each other (Oinam, 2017). Moreover, e-learning tools, platforms, and applications draw on the web 2.0 technology. Such a technology through its interactive interface and two-way communication and discussion between students and teachers and among students has the potential to enhance social learning where students support each other and the teachers scaffold students via feedback (Hartshorne & Ajjan, 2009; Vygotsky, 1978). This interactive feature promotes collaboration among students and autonomy of learning with less dependence on the teachers.

Furthermore, Saudi universities use English as the medium of instruction whereas schools use Arabic as the medium of instruction. In Saudi universities, English is used in scientific majors such as medicine and engineering. Arabic is used in humanities’ majors, but students in those majors must complete an EFL course as a requirement for graduation (Alrashidi & Phan, 2015).

3.2 Historical Perspective of the Review’s Focus

Favorable students’ perceptions of e-learning have been well-documented in literature. Sharma (2019) used 60 Saudi EFL learners in the preparatory year at Jazan University. One of the research questions was: What are Saudi students’ attitudes toward using social media to support their EFL learning, mainly at Jazan University? One of the hypotheses was: Saudi students at Jazan University have positive attitudes toward using social media to support their EFL learning. A questionnaire (with 5-point Likert scale) was used based on and adapted from Alifan (2015). Results showed that students had a positive attitude toward using Social Media (SM) to support their EFL learning. Moreover, results showed that students at Jazan University preferred to use WhatsApp followed by YouTube as their most frequently used SM platform. Finally, the students’ concern was to protect their privacy when using such SM platforms.

Alshehri, Rutter and Smith (2019) used two surveys to evaluate the usability of
the e-learning system (i.e., Blackboard) in the King Khalid University (KKU) and the students’ perceptions of its usability features such as information quality, navigation and learnability of the system. Two hundred fifty undergraduate students at KKU answered the surveys; 181 complete surveys were used. All participants used Blackboard to study their majors in different colleges. Results showed that students perceived the most important usability features of Blackboard were information rating, navigation and learnability respectively. This meant that students rated the information quality as the first usability feature of Blackboard reflecting its importance. Then, students rated navigation (i.e., ease of navigation, clear organized icons, etc.) as the second usability feature of Blackboard. Finally, students thought that learnability (i.e., easy to learn, not complicated, user-friendly, etc.) came as the third usability feature.

Oyaid and Alshaya (2019) reported on Saudi university students’ perceptions of using E-books for learning. Twenty-seven students of educational technology course downloaded the E-book app on their smart phones and tablets and were encouraged to use all features of the app. for one semester. A discussion group was held to delineate the students’ views of the application. Results showed that students thought the most important E-book features were interactivity, user-friendly interface and the ability to highlight important parts of the E-book text. Furthermore, students believed that simple, well-organized clear icons and easy to navigate interface were also essential characteristics in the application. However, some students reported some problems in the application, such as not being able to use the copy and paste feature to copy some parts of the text to other applications, and not being used to study from a screen. Finally, students expressed their intentions to use E-books applications in their future studies.

Mutambik (2018) investigated students’ and teachers’ perceptions of using e-learning for EFL learning in Saudi schools. Group interviews were used (2 groups of 8 students and 2 groups of 4 teachers). Students and teachers were grouped based on their gender and e-learning use. Males and females were interviewed separately for religious and cultural practices in the KSA. Students reported benefits of e-learning for improving their English listening and speaking. This was also supported by the teachers. One reason for this may be because such skills are not well practiced in the face-to-face traditional classes in Saudi schools. Moreover, students mentioned many benefits of e-learning such as independent learning, flexible learning and interactive learning. They stated students can access information on their own outside class with 24/7 flexibility of time and interact with each other and with their teachers if needed. For an outline of the historical perspective’s four studies, please refer to Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (participants/setting)</th>
<th>Research question(s)</th>
<th>Results found</th>
<th>Results (summary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sharma (2018)</td>
<td>60 Saudi EFL learners</td>
<td>What are Saudi students’ attitudes toward using Blackboard as their EFL learning medium at Jazan University?</td>
<td>Students had a positive attitude toward using Blackboard to support their EFL learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Media</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alshaya, Rater &amp; Saleh (2019)</td>
<td>250 Students</td>
<td>How can you rate the usability features of Blackboard?</td>
<td>Students had positive views of Blackboard features as important components of the app.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King Khalid Uni.</td>
<td>2 questionnaires</td>
<td>How was your experience using the E-book app?</td>
<td>Students had a positive view of the E-book app and thought it was an important feature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(usability &amp; perceptions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackboard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oyaid &amp; Alshaya (2015)</td>
<td>27 students</td>
<td>Were your perceptions of EFL learning in Saudi schools?</td>
<td>Students had positive views of EFL learning and used it particularly for listening and speaking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Saudi university</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussion group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-book app.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutambik (2018)</td>
<td>30 students &amp; 8 teachers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi public schools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group interviews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Objectives of the Review

The present review had three objectives. First, it aimed to report on the students’ perceptions of the various e-learning technologies such as LMSs such as WebCT, Blackboard and Moodle; Mobile applications such as Telegram and WhatsApp, video-conferencing tools such as Skype and Zoom; and Social Media sites such as Facebook, Blogs, Wikis and Google Docs for learning ESL/EFL in Saudi universities at Coronavirus time.

Second, it sought to find out the benefits of using such e-learning tools, platforms and applications for ESL/EFL in Saudi universities. For instance, in the historical perspective of the review’s focus, Sharma (2019) stated that students at Jazan

University preferred to use WhatsApp and YouTube for their EFL learning as social media was a panacea for their affective filters (i.e., increased their motivations and lowered their anxiety). Moreover, they could access their learning materials via these social media platforms and applications anytime anywhere.

Third, it endeavored to report any drawbacks for using these e-learning tools, platforms and applications for ESL/EFL learning in Saudi universities and offer solutions. For example, in the historical perspective of the review's focus, Oyaid and Alshaya (2019) stated that students in one Saudi university had positive views of using an E-book application however, some students reported they could not copy some parts of the E-book text and paste it in other applications. Furthermore, some students stated they were not used to studying from a screen. Based on the four previously mentioned studies in the historical perspective of the review section, the students’ perceptions were expected to be mainly positive ones. E-learning tools, platforms and applications were expected to bear many benefits for ESL/EFL learning in Saudi universities. However, if any drawbacks existed as per the students, these would be reported along with suggested solutions as well.

4. Methodology

This review attempted to explore the Saudi students’ perceptions of e-learning for ESL/EFL in Saudi universities during Coronavirus time. Using Google Scholar and Education Resources Information Center (ERIC), 04 studies were selected. Studies focusing on students’ perceptions were used as the review attempted explore the benefits of student-centered education (Oinam, 2017). Saudi universities were focused as the language of instruction in Saudi Universities for scientific majors is English and the humanities majors have to study an English course as a graduation requirement (Alrashidi & Phan, 2015).

The first study (Ahmed, 2020) reported on an e-learning tool (Google Docs), students’ reflections on its use and how its use led to improving the quality of the students’ EFL writing in Imam Abdulrahman University. The second study (Abu-Ayfah, 2020) reported on another e-learning tool (Telegram app.) for EFL learning and the students’ views of it in Taibah University. The third study (Alshehri and Cumming, 2020) reported on a third e-learning tool (Mobile technologies) and the students’ and teachers’ perceptions of these in King Abdulaziz and King Khalid universities. The fourth study (Hakami, 2020) reported on a fourth e-learning tool (Nearpod) and the students’ perspectives of it in Najran University.

4.1 Findings of the Studies

Ahmad’s study (2020) sought to answer the research question: What is the effect of Cloud-based Collaborative Writing (CBCW) on both the quantity and quality of EFL students’ writing? Thus, there was one independent variable (CBCW) and two dependent variables (writing quantity & writing quality). There were two hypotheses: 1) a statistically significant difference would be found between the mean scores of participants between the pretest and the posttest of writing quantity, and 2) a statistically significant difference would be found between the mean scores of participants between the pretest and the posttest of writing quality. The participants were 21 female students at Jubail College of Education, Imam Abdulrahman University (IAU). They were EFL students with the Dept of English enrolled in a course called Advanced Essay. This was an intact sample of convenience since they were students in the same class taught by the researcher (Perry, 2011). They were familiar with using computers, smart phones and Blackboard in their studies. Pre- and post- essay writing tests were used to measure the students’ quantity and quality of their writing. The treatment was using Google Docs to write weekly essays. Students were divided in small groups and each group collaborated in writing their own essay. Google Docs was chosen as it was a free online cloud-based collaboration tool with simple interface. Moreover, it could support synchronous and asynchronous communication and revision by various writers from various locations on one or more documents.

Two training sessions were administered to the participants to make sure they could do the weekly writing task via Google Docs properly. After completing their collaborative Google Docs essay writing over one semester, the participants were also required to reflect on their collaborative writing experiences as well as their experiences using Google Docs. Their reflections and comments were written on Google Docs discussion page. Results showed that the difference between the mean scores of the participants’ pretest and posttest of writing quantity was not significant whereas it was significant for the...
writing quality. This was done using Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test. The researcher concluded that Cloud-based Collaborative Writing (CBCW) could be used to improve the quality of essays written by EFL students. Most students had favorable views of using Google Docs for collaborative writing.

Moreover, Abu-Ayfah (2020) attempted to answer the research question: What are the EFL college students’ perceptions of using Telegram Application for English language learning? The participants were 300 randomly selected EFL college students, 200 female and 100 male, from the department of English and Translation at Taibah University. The majority of participants were familiar with the Telegram app. About 83% of participants already used it for learning EFL. Telegram is a cloud-based app. that could allow exchanging text, pictures, audio or video among its users without occupying storage space on their mobile/smart phones.

The instrument was a questionnaire administered via Google online survey and distributed via a WhatsApp group. The first section of the questionnaire asked demographic questions about the participants’ gender and use of Telegram Application. The second section included 24 statements related to the research question. The questionnaire was self-devised. The study was quantitative and used the descriptive, analytical method and the statistical software SPSS. Results showed that 35% of the participants used Telegram app. for both language skills betterment and entertainment. Also, 29% used it for language improvement alone, 26% used it for other purposes, and 10% used it for fun alone. Moreover, 66% of the participants used Telegram app. for EFL vocabulary learning and 64% used it for EFL reading. About 63% used it for grammar learning, 58% used it for listening, 57% used it for speaking, and 57% used it for writing. The researcher concluded that students used Telegram app. for EFL learning and most of them favored to learn vocabulary via it than other language skills.

Alshehri and Cumming (2020) sought to answer the research question: How does the integration of mobile technologies affect knowledge management among students and educators in academic (higher education) settings? Three sub-questions were asked:

1) What are students' perspectives of using mobile applications for knowledge management (including formal and informal communication) in academic settings?

2) What are lecturers' perspectives of using mobile applications for knowledge management (including formal and informal communication) in academic settings?

3) How do mobile technologies address the shortcomings of the current learning system for knowledge management?

Participants were 30 students and 31 educators from Linguistics, Math, and Information and Communication Technology (ICT) departments at King Abdulaziz University and King Khalid University. Qualitative data were gleaned via semi-structured interviews that aimed to obtain the participants’ perceptions of mobile technologies for learning and academic purposes. The interviews were audio recorded, transcribed, and then translated from Arabic to English. Each interview lasted about 30 minutes. Results showed that mobile technologies enabled students to access learning materials anytime anywhere, solve problems via communication with other students and their teachers. One student explained when he faced a difficult part while studying; he used mobile applications to contact his fellow students and his teacher for clarification.

Moreover, students and teachers posited that using mobile technologies enhanced academic communication, such as asking questions and seeking clarification of difficult points. However, some students conceived some drawbacks for integrating mobile technologies, such as slow Internet connectivity and asked for institutional support to solve such a problem. The researcher concluded that students and teachers believed that mobile technologies enhanced academic, student-student and student-teacher communication. Institutional support and training for both students and teachers are needed for best outcomes.

Hakami (2020) contrived to answer the research question: Do Nearpod affordances promote female students’ interaction in a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) learning environment? Participants were 74 female students enrolled in a School Administration course at Sharoura College of Science and Arts, Najran University. Nearpod is a web-based learning application that facilitates interaction among students and between students and their teachers.
Students can use the application on their own devices such as tablets, smart phones or laptops. Nearpod was integrated to a video-conferencing system that allowed male teachers to teach female students at Najran University. In Saudi Arabia, there are separate campuses for male and female students. Male teachers can teach female students only via a video-conferencing system due to religious and cultural practices in the country. The instrument was a researcher-designed questionnaire to obtain students’ perceptions of Nearpod integrated with a video-conference system in a BYOD learning model. The questionnaire had four parts; the first part asked about the participants’ opinions about Nearpod in an integrated learning environment. The second and third parts used a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from ‘strongly disagree’ to ‘strongly agree’ to rate the students’ views of Nearpod. The fourth part included 5 open-ended questions to gain more in-depth information about using Nearpod with video-conferencing in such an integrated learning environment. Data was analyzed using descriptive statistical analysis. Verbal responses for the open-ended questions were perused and categorized into codes and themes.

Results showed that 90% of the students agreed that integrating Nearpod with the video-conferencing system led to more interaction in class. About 87% of the students believed that Nearpod enhanced collaborative activities among students leading to better understanding of lectures. However, some participants reported some disadvantages for using Nearpod mainly the slow Internet connectivity that affects the quality of Nearpod. Also, some students did not like using their own devices in class in the BYOD learning model. The researcher concluded that most students had positive views of integrating Nearpod with a video-conferencing system in a BYOD learning environment as this promoted more interaction, collaboration among students and enhanced communication with the teacher leading to better understanding of the learning materials.

5. Discussion & Implications

The four reviewed primary studies (Ahmad, 2020; Abu-Ayfah, 2020; Alshehri & Cumming, 2020 and Hakami, 2020) clearly indicated that students had positive views of the various e-learning applications for ESL/EFL in Saudi universities. For instance, Ahmad (2020) reported that female students at the English Dept. of Jubail College of Education, Imam Abdulrahman University (IAU) had favorable perceptions of using Google Docs (i.e., a free cloud-based online collaborative writing tool) for EFL writing. Moreover, using Google Docs was found to improve the students’ writing quality. Abu-Ayfah (2020) stated that male and female students in the Dept. of English and Translation, Taibah University, had positive perspectives of Telegram (i.e., a cloud-based app. for exchanging text, pictures, audio and video) for EFL learning. Students favored this app. for learning vocabulary more than reading, grammar, listening, speaking and writing respectively.

Thus, students' positive perceptions of Google Docs (Ahmad, 2020) and Telegram (Abu-Ayfah, 2020) and their preference to use it for EFL writing and vocabulary respectively could improve such skills dramatically. If students are given freedom to choose their preferred e-learning tool/app, their affective filter will be low and consequently they will learn better (Krashen, 1981). This will be elaborated on in the web 0.2 and learning theories section.

Alshehri and Cumming (2020) posited that students and teachers in the Dept. of Linguistics, Math and ICT of King Abdulaziz University and King Khalid University had positive perceptions of integrating mobile technologies at these universities. Students and teachers believed integrating mobile technologies enhanced academic, student-student and student-teacher communications. However, a minor drawback was reported by some students, namely slow Internet connectivity. Institutional support was reported important to solve this minor problem.

Hakami (2020) reported that female students at Sharoura College of Science and Arts of Najran University had favorable views of Nearpod (i.e., a web-based learning app.) when integrated with a video-conferencing system in a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) learning environment. Students posited that Nearpod promoted more interaction, collaboration among students, and communication with the teacher. However, slow Internet connectivity was reported by some students as a little disadvantage. In addition, some students did not like bringing their own devices in a BYOD model.

While students thought positively of Mobile technologies (Alshehri and Cumming, 2020) and Nearpod (Hakami, 2020) and stated that they enhanced student-
student and student-teacher communication, they were aware of slow Internet as a possible disadvantage of e-learning. This is considered a small glitch that can be easily fixed via support from the university (i.e., IT dept.) or the country as a whole (i.e., telecommunications ministry and/or companies) if e-learning was adopted ubiquitously as a solution during pandemics' times. These four studies re-affirmed what was reported by the historical perspective’s other four studies (Sharma, 2019; Alshehri, Rutter & Smith, 2019; Oyaid & Alshaya, 2019; Mutambik, 2018). Students’ perspectives of e-learning for ESL/EFL learning in Saudi universities were positive attesting to its many benefits with some minor drawbacks. These are briefed below in Table 2.

Table 2: Outline of the eight studies’ e-learning benefits/drawbacks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>e-learning tool used</th>
<th>benefits</th>
<th>drawbacks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sharma &amp; Alshaya (2019)</td>
<td>Social (SM) and Media</td>
<td>Students had a positive attitude toward using Social Media (SM) to support their TEL learning. They preferred WhatsApp and YouTube.</td>
<td>Students were concerned about their privacy when using SM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alshehri &amp; Rutter &amp; Smith (2019)</td>
<td>Blackboard</td>
<td>Students had positive views of Blackboard perceived it as most important features were: amenability, non-face-to-face interface and the ability to highlight important parts of the E-book text.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oyaid &amp; Alshaya (2019)</td>
<td>E-book app.</td>
<td>Students had positive views of E-book app. and thought it was in most important features were: amenability, non-face-to-face interface and the ability to highlight important parts of the E-book text. Some students reported they couldn’t copy parts of the text in the E-book app. and paste it in other apps. Some reported not being used to study from a screen.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutambik (2018)</td>
<td>E-learning tools in general</td>
<td>Students had positive views of e-learning and used it particularly for listening and speaking. They reported many benefits of e-learning such as: independent, flexible and assesses learning.</td>
<td>Some students were concerned not developing handwriting properly when used to e-learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmad (2020)</td>
<td>Google Docs.</td>
<td>Marie students had favorable views of Google Docs for collaborative writing. using Google Docs improved writing quality.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alshaya (2020)</td>
<td>Telegram app.</td>
<td>Students used Telegram for EFL learning and most of them learned new vocabulary through it than other language skills.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alshehri &amp; Cumming (2020)</td>
<td>Mobile technologies</td>
<td>Students and teachers believed that mobile technologies enhanced student-student and student-teacher communication.</td>
<td>Slow internet connectivity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hakama (2020)</td>
<td>Neopiad, web-based learning app.</td>
<td>Students had positive views of Neopiad as it promoted more interaction, collaboration among students and enhanced communication with the teacher. Slow internet connectivity.</td>
<td>Slow internet connectivity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1 Drawbacks and Implications

The drawbacks reported were slow Internet connectivity (Alshehri and Cumming, 2020); not preferable to bring own device in a BYOD environment (Hakami, 2020); privacy of students on Social Media (SM) (Sharma, 2019); not being used to study from a screen and not being able to copy from E-book text and paste into other applications (Oyaid & Alshaya, 2019); and worry about handwriting development when used to e-learning (Mutambik, 2018). Such minor drawbacks can easily be fixed via institutional support from universities to enhance the Internet connectivity and via e-learning software designers to promote students’ privacy on SM and to add more available options, such as the possibility to copy from an E-book text and paste into other apps. Finally, as for not being used to study from a screen or worry about own handwriting, spending more time doing these activities warrants improvement in such respects.

5.2 Web 0.2 and Learning Theories

The students’ mainly positive views of e-learning can be attributed to the features of web 0.2, which support and facilitate these e-learning applications. Web 0.2 has interactive interface enabling two-way student-student and student-teacher communication. Such a feature allows for social learning where students help each other and receive a help from their teachers via feedback (Hartshorne & Ajjan, 2009).

Furthermore, the built-in affordances in these e-learning applications, such as Google Docs, allow synchronous and asynchronous communication and revision by various writers from various locations on one or more documents. Moreover, Telegram app. allows for the exchange of text, pictures, audio and video among students and between students and teachers.

Such e-learning affordances can support student-student and student-teacher interaction, communication, and collaboration while writing some essays together or exchanging texts/pictures or audios/videos of some ESL/EFL topics leading to better understanding of the learning materials and language skills. This concurs with Vygotsky’s (1978) Socio-cultural Theory of learning where interaction between novice-master, peer-peer, and student-student leads to master scaffolding novice-teacher scaffolding student-student scaffolding student with the result that the novice learns the skill the student learns the language skill better.

Also, SM as an e-learning tool; such as Facebook, WhatsApp, wikis, blogs, etc.; can motivate students, lower their anxiety, and allow them to work together on various language skills such as listening, speaking, reading, and writing while being in a low-threatening atmosphere. This is line with Krashen’s (1981) affective filter hypothesis where low anxiety and high motivation allows the language input to pass through the learners’ affective filter and reach the
Language Acquisition Device (LAD). Thus, students learn the language skill at hand.

5.3 A Conference Paper from China

In a reaction to the new COVID-19 and the suspension of face-to-face education, He (2020) presented a paper at a conference held in the beginning of March 2020 in China. In his paper, he proposed a specific solution for College English learners in China, which he called ‘online autonomous learning.’ He (2020) posited that in foreign language learning/teaching (such as College English learning/teaching), the absolute autonomy of learning is not realistic. Learners’ autonomy or student-centered learning should be under the teachers’ guidance where the teacher becomes a mentor or a consultant (Littlewood, 1999).

He (2020) further explained that in an online environment, autonomous learners use the help of their teachers (Gardner & Miller, 1999). Online College English teachers and learners build an online learning community via interaction between learners and teachers and among learners. The learning tasks are completed via student-student as well as student-teacher collaboration and interaction. Teachers need to provide students with online rich learning resources and guide students on how to evaluate other Internet resources for learning to avoid poor online learning materials.

6. Conclusion

In China, He (2020) called for continuing College English learning during Coronavirus shut down period via online autonomous learning where both learners and teachers build an online interactive learning community. In Saudi Arabia, since students’ perceptions were in favor of e-learning for ESL/EFL in Saudi universities, the researchers call for its adoption as the only viable means of learning at world pandemics’ times owing to its documented benefits and marginal drawbacks. Benefits included: Google Docs improved EFL writing quality (Ahmad, 2020); Telegram was favored for EFL vocabulary learning (Abu-Ayfah, 2020); Mobile technologies enhanced academic and student-teacher communication (Alshehri and Cumming, 2020) and Nearpod enhanced student collaboration (Hakami, 2020). One drawback was: slow Internet (Alshehri and Cumming, 2020; Hakami, 2020) which could be easily dealt with via support from universities.
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